

7

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

**Civil No. 02-CV-0064 (TJM/DRH)**


**GARY TRIESTMAN,**

**PLAINTIFF,**

**-VS-**

**THE TOWN & MUNICIPALITY OF WOODSTOCK, NY;  
PAUL SHULTIS [in personal and official capacities],  
Zoning Enforcement Officer;  
OTHER CURRENTLY UNKNOWN NAMED EMPLOYEES  
OF THE TOWN OF WOODSTOCK,**

**DEFENDANTS.**



**Reply to Defendants' Response on  
Motion for Preliminary Injunction.**

Comes now, Plaintiff, in the above entitled action, in reply to Defendants' response, by letter dated 1/23/02, to the instant motion; copy of response attached.

As it appears the Defendants are not opposing the instant motion at this time, Plaintiff concurs with the response, and does not object to Defendants' submission invoking the right to submit same without prejudice to resubmission at a later date; however Plaintiff does object to any substantive expansion of the record of issues or arguments at the hearing that were not preserved on the instant response.

Plaintiff further confirms that at this time, he and the Defendants have begun possible negotiations so as to settle this matter extra-judicially.

DATED: January 24, 2002

Respectfully Submitted:




Gary Triestman  
Plaintiff  
POB 563  
Bearsville, NY 12409  
(845) 679-4770  
(845) 679-7698 (fax)

Certificate of Service

I, Gary Triestman, swear that I served a copy of this reply to Defendants' response on motion for Preliminary Injunction, by FAX (by which method Defendant has consented to for this submission) on 1/24/02:

& upon Defendant Directly on 1/25/02:



Gary Triestman